

A written reply furnished by Dr KC to the Supreme Court on Tuesday

as published in The Kathmandu Post, 10 January 2018

1. It's a fact known to all that Chief Justice Gopal Parajuli got his citizenship from two places. It is a crime; immoral and illegal for a person to get more than one citizenships. This is why he [Parajuli] should be investigated and punished.
2. He not only received citizenship certificates from two places but even altered his age. He has been holding the post of chief justice based on the second citizenship he received from Kathmandu district. But he also attained an age of 65 in Ashad, 2074 (June-July 2017). Let the issue be investigated. It is against the law to occupy the post of chief justice after getting 65 [the retirement age]. These sorts of activity are tantamount to "trading of justice".
3. Not only was it on a wrong legal basis that Gopal Parajuli gave a clean chit to Lokman Singh Karki, but his relative (nephew) was also appointed as an advisor to the Commission for Investigation of Abuse of Authority after Karki's exoneration. The activities of acquitting someone in return for favour of job for one's relatives show that the judicial prestige and faith in justice have been bought and sold. Let there be a fair investigation into this issue and truth be brought to light. Parajuli should be punished for abusing his authority.
4. There is controversy over whether Gopal Parajuli has passed the SLC. On this issue, the document and order issued by former chief justice Sushila Karki should be linked. The academic certificates of CJ Parajuli should be probed. How can a person hold the post of chief justice without having the academic certificate necessary for a judge? Isn't this a crime of putting the state and the court in the dark?
5. As questions have been raised in the media about his academic degrees and qualification, let the court ask for and look into the original document and decisions made by the Judicial Council and the Constitutional Council in this regard.
6. Doesn't Parajuli's biased (ex parte) order in favour of land-mafia in the case of Jagdish Acharya that overturned the government's decision and policy introduced to systematise and regulate the land-plotting business show "justice sold"?
7. Parajuli's verdict that exempted hotels from paying royalties for operating casinos has led to the "death of justice". The country has lost revenue. How can a person "killing justice" be expected to maintain judicial independence?
8. Various verdicts issued after Gopal Parajuli took the helm of Supreme Court including on the cases of Chudamani Sharma, Gopal Khadka and Ncell have promoted the corrupt and created a situation whereby Ncell was relieved of tax dues worth Rs60 billion. Don't these activities make a mockery of the rule of law? There should be judicial review of verdicts on the aforementioned cases.
9. The move to promote his relative (Parajuli's nephew-in-law) within a span of 6-7 months to the post of registrar, chief registrar, and High Court chief judge has sabotaged judicial independence. A person involved in influencing his relative's promotion for three times within 6-7 months doesn't have the moral ground to hold the honourable post of chief justice. That's why, as an informed citizen, I have demanded his resignation. And I believe that he [Parajuli] should step down. Otherwise the person will continue to obstruct the process of the judiciary becoming independent and free.
10. On the very night of an impeachment motion registered against then-chief justice Sushila Karki, Parajuli assumed the post of chief justice at 10:30. Which law and norm allow this? A person involved in illegal activities can't maintain the dignity of judiciary. Therefore, his resignation is fully justified.
11. The Kantipur daily has been acquitted in a contempt of court case filed against it for publishing an article titled "Where there is Gopal Parajuli there are cases of medical college". That means the news carried by the Kantipur daily was judicially proven. The verdicts and orders issued by Gopal Parajuli in the cases prove the same. Hence, it doesn't suit a person whose character has been exposed judicially to remain in the honourable post of chief justice.

As CJ Gopal Parajuli has been challenging the faith, dignity and independence of judiciary, and is guilty of contempt of court, I request the judiciary to take action him in a contempt of court case. The accusations against me are baseless. I ask the bench of all the SC justices except Parajuli to conduct a hearing on this case.